



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Ynysangharad War Memorial Park Cabinet Committee

DATE DECISION MADE: 12 June, 2018

Agenda Item: 3

**SUBJECT: TAFF VALE REDEVELOPMENT - YNYSANGHARAD WAR MEMORIAL
PARK PROPOSED FOOTBRIDGE**

Members Present:

Councillor M Webber (Chair), Councillor A Crimmings, Councillor S Powderhill
and Councillor J Brencher

Apologies for Absence:

Councillor S Evans

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

Councillor R Bevan

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the recent progress made and endorse the approach detailed in paragraphs 6.1 to 6.4 of the report

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Members of the work completed to-date in respect of the Taff Vale redevelopment and the developments taken forward with the proposed Footbridge into Ynysangharad War Memorial Park.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES/FUTURE GENERATIONS - SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT:

The development opportunity outlined within the report will make a positive contribution towards the Corporate Priorities “Economy – Building a Stronger Economy” and “A Prosperous Wales”.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

As outlined within section 8 of the report, a public exhibition in respect of the Taff Vale redevelopment was held in March 2017 and the Councils aspiration for a footbridge was discussed with visitors to the exhibition. Stakeholder engagement is ongoing and conversations are currently being held with key stakeholders including CADW and Natural Resources Wales. Consultation will be undertaken as part of the Statutory Planning process when a planning application is submitted

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL:

Ynysangharad War Memorial Park Cabinet Committee – [21st November, 2017.](#)

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

Yes

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 3 clear working days after its publication i.e. **18 June 2018** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8.(b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason: N/A

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason N/A

8.(c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

.....N/A.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

12.06.18
(Dated)